




Title	Human and equipment resources for difficult airway management, airway education programs, and capnometry use in Japanese emergency departments: a nationwide cross-sectional study(Full text)
Author(s)	Ono, Yuko; Tanigawa, Koichi; Shinohara, Kazuaki; Yano, Tetsuhiro; Sorimachi, Kotaro; Inokuchi, Ryota; Shimada, Jiro
Citation	International Journal of Emergency Medicine. 10: 28
Issue Date	2017-09-13
URL	http://ir.fmu.ac.jp/dspace/handle/123456789/653
Rights	© The Author(s). 2017 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
DOI	10.1186/s12245-017-0155-6
Text Version	publisher

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Open Access



Human and equipment resources for difficult airway management, airway education programs, and capnometry use in Japanese emergency departments: a nationwide cross-sectional study

Yuko Ono^{1,2*} , Koichi Tanigawa^{1,3}, Kazuaki Shinohara², Tetsuhiro Yano¹, Kotaro Sorimachi¹, Ryota Inokuchi⁴ and Jiro Shimada¹

Abstract

Background: Although human and equipment resources, proper training, and the verification of endotracheal intubation are vital elements of difficult airway management (DAM), their availability in Japanese emergency departments (EDs) has not been determined. How ED type and patient volume affect DAM preparation is also unclear. We conducted the present survey to address this knowledge gaps.

Methods: This nationwide cross-sectional study was conducted from April to September 2016. All EDs received a mailed questionnaire regarding their DAM resources, airway training methods, and capnometry use for tube placement. Outcome measures were the availability of: (1) 24-h in-house back-up; (2) key DAM resources, including a supraglottic airway device (SGA), a dedicated DAM cart, surgical airway devices, and neuromuscular blocking agents; (3) anesthesiology rotation as part of an airway training program; and (4) the routine use of capnometry to verify tube placement. EDs were classified as academic, tertiary, high-volume (upper quartile of annual ambulance visits), and urban.

Results: Of the 530 EDs, 324 (61.1%) returned completed questionnaires. The availability of in-house back-up coverage, surgical airway devices, and neuromuscular blocking agents was 69.4, 95.7, and 68.5%, respectively. SGAs and dedicated DAM carts were present in 51.5 and 49.7% of the EDs. The rates of routine capnometry use (47.8%) and the availability of an anesthesiology rotation (38.6%) were low. The availability of 24-h back-up coverage was significantly higher in academic EDs and tertiary EDs in both the crude and adjusted analysis. Similarly, neuromuscular blocking agents were more likely to be present in academic EDs, high-volume EDs, and tertiary EDs; and the rate of routine use of capnometry was significantly higher in tertiary EDs in both the crude and adjusted analysis.

Conclusions: In Japanese EDs, the rates of both the availability of SGAs and DAM carts and the use of routine capnometry to confirm tube placement were approximately 50%. These data demonstrate the lack of standard operating procedures for rescue ventilation and post-intubation care. Academic, tertiary, and high-volume EDs were likely to be well prepared for DAM.

Keywords: Airway equipment, Capnometry, Supraglottic airway device, Portable storage unit, Postal survey

* Correspondence: windmill@fmu.ac.jp

¹Emergency and Critical Care Medical Center, Fukushima Medical University Hospital, Fukushima 960-1295, Japan

²Department of Anesthesiology, Ohta General Hospital Foundation, Ohta Nishinouchi Hospital, Koriyama, Japan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Background

Endotracheal intubation (ETI) is a common and, in many cases, life-saving intervention in emergency departments (EDs). ETI in the ED setting is much more difficult than elective ETI in the operating room (OR), because of the more critical patient population, the lesser controlled setting, and the inadequate opportunity for a complete evaluation of the patient [1, 2]. The rate of difficult ETI in ED settings ranges from 6.1 to 23.5% [1, 3–7], while in planned anesthesia settings it is 0.5–8.5% [8–13]. Consequently, life-threatening ETI-related complications, including hypoxia, esophageal intubation, aspiration, and cardiac arrest, are more likely to occur in the ED [3–5]. These fatal airway-related adverse events can in part be attributed to the limited accessibility of proper human and difficult airway management (DAM) equipment resources [14–17]. Every ED should therefore have the appropriate human and equipment resources for DAM. However, little is known about the availability of either one in Japan's EDs.

Previous studies [14–17] strongly recommended that, regardless of the location, DAM resources should be consistent with those specified for hospital ORs by several professional anesthesiology societies [18–20]. We previously audited Japanese helicopter physician delivery services [21] and intensive care units (ICU) [22] regarding the adequacy of their equipment and its compliance with DAM guidelines [18–20]. However, whether airway management resources in Japanese EDs are compatible with established OR standards has not been comprehensively evaluated.

In Japan, residency programs in emergency medicine are not standardized [23], and the quality of emergency airway management education depends on the individual institution. Although adequate training in and familiarity with airway management are among the most important elements in emergency medicine [23], objective information on the teaching of airway management in Japanese EDs is not available.

The verification of endotracheal tube placement is an indispensable part of any DAM strategy [18–20], with end-tidal CO₂ (EtCO₂) detection as the most accurate method to verify correct tube placement in emergency settings [24–26]. For this reason, secondary ETI confirmation using capnometry is strongly recommended in every ED [14]; however, the level of capnometry use for this purpose in ED patients in Japan is unknown.

Furthermore, there are few data on how ED characteristics and volume affect preparedness for DAM. A consensus regarding this relationship is needed to assess DAM practice variations in each type of ED.

We conducted a national survey to determine: (1) the adequacy of available DAM resources, airway education programs, and post-intubation care, and (2) the

association between these DAM preparations and ED characteristics in Japan.

Methods

Study design and sites

This cross-sectional study was conducted from April to September 2016 (planning phase, April–June; survey phase, July–September). After its approval (no. 2751) by the Institutional Review Boards of Fukushima Medical University in June 2016, self-administered questionnaires were mailed in July 2016 to the directors of all EDs (530 hospitals in 47 prefectures) registered as certified training facilities by the Japanese Association of Acute Medicine (JAAM). Pre-paid return envelopes with pre-printed addresses were used to increase the response rate, but no incentives were offered. A complete list of these hospitals is available at the official website of the JAAM [27]. The criteria for a JAAM-certified ED include (1) the existence of the facility as an independent, central clinical division; (2) its receipt of a sufficiently large volume of ambulances, patients with cardiopulmonary arrest, and acute-phase patients; (3) two or more dedicated JAAM board-certified ED physicians on staff; and (4) suitable resources and a program for the training of senior residents. EDs that did not respond to the initial survey were sent a repeat mailing in September 2016. No other non-response follow-up techniques, such as phone calls, were used.

Survey items

Our selection of items for inclusion in the questionnaire was based on previous work in which we investigated available DAM resources in the pre-hospital [21] and ICU [22] settings in Japan. We also referred to all relevant studies conducted in other countries that similarly assessed EDs [28–36], ICUs [37–41], ORs [42–45], and pre-hospital settings [46–48]. We then circulated drafts among the survey team members (an epidemiologist, anesthesiologists, and physicians specializing in emergency medicine) and finalized the questionnaire in April 2016. An English version of the Japanese questionnaire used in this study is available in the Additional file 1 (Online Resource 1). Survey items consisted of facility characteristics, human resources and DAM equipment, airway management training programs, and capnometry use.

Facility characteristics

The survey first asked basic information regarding the number of hospital beds and annual ambulance admissions in 2015. EDs were classified as (a) academic or community, (b) high-volume or not, (c) tertiary or not, and (d) urban or suburban and rural. Academic EDs were defined as departments in university-affiliated hospitals, and high-volume EDs were defined as departments in the upper

quartile of annual ambulance visits. The criteria for tertiary EDs [49] included (1) 24-h availability of acute care in multiple specialties; (2) the existence of an ICU or coronary care unit that receives critically ill patients; (3) provision of emergency medicine education programs for medical students, junior and senior residents, nurses, and paramedics; and (4) service as a referral medical center for regional emergency medical control. A complete list of Japanese tertiary EDs [50] are available online. The criteria for pediatric EDs were [51]: (1) 24-h availability of care in multiple specialties for critically ill children, (2) a referral resource for communities in nearby regions, (3) provision of continuing education programs in pediatric emergency medicine, and (4) incorporation of a comprehensive quality assessment program. Tertiary and pediatric EDs were both certified by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare. The census grouping [52] by the Statistics Bureau of the Japanese Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications was used to identify urban EDs. In brief, urban municipalities included 23 wards within the Tokyo metropolis and 20 ordinance-designated cities. In this study, the EDs were divided into urban and others, with the latter including suburban and rural types.

Of 530 eligible EDs in this survey, 107 (20.2%) were academic, 265 (50%) were tertiary, 185 (34.9%) were urban, and 12 (2.3%) were pediatric EDs.

Human resources and DAM equipment

To obtain information on the human resources for airway management, questions were asked about the usual number of on-duty staff ED physician(s) during the day and overnight, the board certification of ED physicians, and whether in-house, experienced (anesthesiology or intensive care medicine) back-up coverage can be called during overnight hours. Senior residents (post-graduate year 3 or more) were defined as staff ED physicians, but junior residents (post-graduate year 1 or 2) were not. "24-h in-house back-up coverage" was deemed obtainable if: (a) two or more physicians were usually on duty, including overnight, or (b) in-house experienced back-up coverage (anesthesiology or intensive care medicine) was available overnight, as previously described [22]. Board-certified physicians were defined based on the Japanese Medical Specialty Board criteria [53].

Equipment resources were queried based on the availability of the following materials in the ED: (1) direct laryngoscope and adjunct equipment (curved blade, straight blade, McCoy laryngoscope, stylet, and gum elastic bougie); (2) alternate intubation equipment (rigid video laryngoscope, flexible fiberoptic, retrograde intubation kit, and surgical airway equipment); (3) alternate ventilation equipment [supraglottic airway device (SGA), oral and nasal airways]; (4) a portable packaged unit containing several DAM devices (DAM cart); and (5)

analgesics, sedatives, and neuromuscular blocking agents to facilitate ETI, and reversal agents. If a rigid video laryngoscope or SGA was available, respondents were requested to provide the product name. In our previous study [22], SGA availability in Japanese ICUs was determined to be poor, but the reasons were not identified. Thus, in the current survey participants were queried regarding the reasons for the lack of SGA devices in the ED. Surgical airway equipment was categorized as a cricothyroidotomy kit or a set containing a scalpel and hemostat. If a dedicated DAM cart was present in the ED, respondents were asked to specify its contents.

Airway management training programs

Emergency medicine residency programs, including DAM educational offerings, vary in length because of the absence of bodies responsible for the accreditation of graduate medical training programs in Japan [23]. To clarify the current situation and to provide a reference point, this survey requested information on the airway management training programs available in each ED, including anesthesiology rotation, DAM simulation training, didactic DAM lecture, and surgical airway training using a simulator, an animal model, a cadaver, etc.

Capnometry use

Finally, to determine the current status of capnometry use, both the availability of capnometry (quantitative, colorimetric, or both) in the ED and the extent of capnometry use to confirm tube placement (routinely, sometimes, never) were queried. Our previous study [22] showed that the extent of capnometry use for ETI verification in Japanese ICUs is poor, but the reasons were not explored. Thus, in the present study, respondents were requested to provide reasons for the lack of routine capnometry use to confirm ETI.

Exposures and outcome measures

The exposures in this study were ED characteristics, including academic, high-volume, tertiary, and urban. Several of these factors were chosen as exposures because previous studies have shown that such hospital characteristics can affect patient outcomes [54–57]. Based on these earlier observations, we hypothesized that such ED types also may be associated with DAM preparedness, airway education, and standardized post-intubation care.

Outcomes of interests in this study were the availability of: (1) 24-h in-house back-up coverage; (2) DAM resources, including (a) SGA, (b) DAM cart, (c) surgical airway equipment, and (d) at least one neuromuscular blocking agent; (3) anesthesiology rotation as an airway management training program; and (4) the routine use of capnometry to confirm ETI. We chose "24-h in-house

back-up coverage” as an outcome measure because the “call for help” is the first step and the most important component of DAM algorithms [18–20]. Among the selected DAM equipment, SGA, DAM cart, and surgical airway equipment are commonly endorsed by professional anesthesiology societies [18–20]. The availability of “surgical airway equipment” was defined as the presence in the ED of a cricothyroidotomy kit or a scalpel and hemostat. “Availability of at least one neuromuscular blocking agent” was chosen because the current use of rapid sequence intubation (RSI) in Japanese EDs has yet to be assessed. “Anesthesiology rotation as an airway management training program” is an outcome of interest because of the established association of prior OR exposure with a higher ETI success rate and a lower ETI complication rate in high-risk populations [58–60]. Since post-intubation care with EtCO₂ detection is strongly recommended following emergency ETI [14, 24–26], the routine use of capnometry for tube placement was included as an outcome measure.

Statistical analysis

All survey items were evaluated using descriptive statistics. The associations between outcome of interest and ED type (academic, high-volume, tertiary, and urban) were analyzed using a Fisher’s exact test that included only the complete data sets; those with missing data were excluded. Because these four exposures may have overlapped and become confounded by one another, a logistic regression model was constructed to yield an adjusted odds ratio for appropriate DAM preparedness. In this multivariate analysis, a variance-inflation factor was used to detect multicollinearity, and the model’s fit was verified using the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). $P < 0.05$ was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Sample size

A power analysis using G*Power 3 for Windows (Heinrich Heine University, Dusseldorf, Germany) was performed during the planning phase of this study. The effect size was estimated by referring to our previous work, which determined the association between the ICU type and DAM resources [22]. Based on the assumption that 60% of the EDs had an SGA, DAM cart, and routine use of capnometry for ETI confirmation, the estimated effect size “w” to detect outcome differences of approximately 10% was 0.25. With this effect size, a sample size of 126 per group (total, 252) was calculated to provide 80% statistical power at a two-tailed α of 0.05.

Results

Of the 530 Japanese EDs, 324 returned a completed questionnaire (response rate 61.1%). Table 1 shows the

Table 1 Demographic data of the Japanese emergency departments (EDs) that responded to the survey^a

Basic information	Median (inter-quartile range)
Hospital beds	507 (390–684)
Annual ED visits by ambulance	4044 (2838–5728)
ED type	N (%)
By funding institute (N = 324)	
Academic ^b	79 (24.4)
Community	245 (75.6)
By volume (N = 319) ^c	
High-volume ^d	80 (25.1)
Other	239 (74.9)
By management level (N = 324)	
Tertiary ^e	184 (56.8)
Secondary or primary	140 (43.2)
By location (N = 324)	
Urban ^f	117 (36.1)
Suburban or rural	207 (63.9)
By specialty (N = 324)	
Pediatric ^g	8 (2.5)
Other	316 (97.5)

^aBased on the replies of 324 of the 530 EDs queried

^bDefined as EDs in university-affiliated hospitals

^cThere were five missing data

^dDefined as EDs in the upper quartile of annual ambulance visits (> 5728)

^eDefined as EDs in referral medical centers of regional emergency medical control that are certified by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare

^fDefined using the census grouping criteria by the Statistics Bureau of the Japanese Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications

^gDefined as EDs with a referral resource for critically ill children for communities in nearby regions that are certified by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare

facility characteristics of the responding EDs. The median number of annual ambulance admissions was 4044 (interquartile range 2838–5728). Of these, 24.4% were academic EDs and 56.8% tertiary EDs.

Table 2 provides data on ED manpower and the specialties of the ED physicians. Two or more staff members were usually on duty at 76.3% of the responding EDs during the day, and at 55.2% overnight. In-house back-up coverage was always available in 69.4% of the EDs. In Japan, other than physicians specialized in emergency medicine, those from various specialties, including general surgery, cardiovascular medicine, intensive care, and anesthesiology, serve as ED practitioners (Table 2).

Table 3 summarizes the intubation and alternate intubation equipment available in Japanese EDs. Among the EDs that responded, a curved laryngoscope blade was universally available, and nearly all EDs ($n = 310$, 95.7%) possessed a surgical airway device, either a cricothyroidotomy kit (75.9%) or scalpel and hemostat (19.8%).

Table 2 Number of on-duty emergency department (ED) physicians and their specialties^a

Item	N (%)
Number of on-duty ED physicians	317 ^b
A. Day time	
a) One	75 (23.7)
b) Two or more	242 (76.3)
B. Overnight	
a) One	142 (44.8)
b) Two or more	175 (55.2)
c) In-house back-up coverage ^c always available	220 (69.4)
Board certification of ED physicians ^d	N = 3697
a) Emergency medicine	1223 (33.1)
b) General surgery	726 (19.6)
c) Cardiovascular medicine	350 (9.5)
d) Orthopedics	328 (8.9)
e) Anesthesiology	322 (8.7)
f) Intensive care	313 (8.5)
g) Cranial surgery	266 (7.2)
h) Pediatrics	202 (5.5)
i) Respiratory medicine	126 (3.4)
j) Renal medicine	88 (2.4)
k) Cardiovascular surgery	78 (2.1)
l) Other board certification	579 (15.7)

^aBased on the replies of 324 of the 530 EDs queried^bThere were seven missing replies^cTwo or more ED physicians are always on duty or in-house experienced back-up coverage (anesthesiology or intensive care medicine) is usually available overnight^dPhysicians may have more than one board certification

Table 4 lists the available alternate ventilation equipment in the responding EDs. SGA availability was 51.5%. The performance of a surgical airway in patients with difficult ETI (58.6%) and a lack of familiarity with SGA insertion (39.5%) were the main reasons for the lack of a SGA in the ED.

Dedicated DAM carts were present in 161 (49.7%) EDs and their contents varied (Table 5).

Table 6 lists the drugs available to facilitate ETI in the responding EDs. At least one neuromuscular agent was cited by 222 (68.5%) EDs and at least one opioid by 135 (41.7%) EDs.

Table 7 provides details on the airway teaching programs in Japanese EDs. Diverse DAM training methods are used in the education of ED physicians. An anesthesiology rotation was available in 125 EDs (38.6%).

Information regarding post-intubation care with EtCO₂ detection is provided in Table 8. Despite the high availability of capnometry, its routine use for ETI was reported by less than half (47.8%) of the EDs. The major reasons for not routinely using capnometry to verify

Table 3 Intubation equipment and alternate intubation equipment in the Japanese emergency departments (EDs) that responded to the survey^a

Equipment item	N (%)
Direct laryngoscope and adjunct equipment ^b	
Curved laryngoscope blade (Macintosh type)	324 (100)
Assorted sizes	319 (98.5)
Straight laryngoscope blade (Miller type)	179 (55.2)
Assorted sizes	159 (49.1)
McCoy laryngoscope	55 (17.0)
Stylet	321 (99.1)
Gum elastic bougie	159 (49.1)
Alternate intubation equipment	
Rigid video laryngoscope ^b	285 (88.0)
Airway scope [*]	228 (70.4)
McGRATH MAC [*]	162 (50.0)
GlideScope [*]	11 (3.4)
C-MAC [*]	10 (3.1)
King Vision [*]	6 (1.9)
Other	8 (2.5)
Flexible fiberoptic	195 (60.2)
Retrograde intubation kit	9 (2.8)
Surgical airway equipment	310 (95.7)
Cricothyroidotomy kit	246 (75.9)
Only scalpel and hemostat	64 (19.8)

^aBased on the replies of 324 of the 530 EDs queried^bEDs may have more than one of the specified equipment items

tube placement were ETI confirmation by other methods, such as tube fogging, chest rise, direct visualization, and auscultation (52.7%), and that its use depended on the discretion of the ED physician (47.3%).

Figure 1 summarizes the attainment rates of the outcomes of interest in this study. According to our definitions, back-up staff was always available in 69.4% of the EDs, surgical airway devices in 95.7%, and neuromuscular blocking agents in 68.5%. The availability of SGAs and DAM carts, as well as routine capnometry use to confirm tube placement was approximately 50%. The availability of an anesthesiology rotation for ED physicians was low (<40%).

Table 9 shows the associations between the feasibility of the outcomes of interest and the ED type. The availability of 24-h back-up coverage was significantly higher in academic EDs and tertiary EDs in both the crude and adjusted analysis. Similarly, neuromuscular blocking agents were more likely to be present in academic EDs, high-volume EDs, and tertiary EDs; an anesthesiology rotation was significantly less available in academic EDs; and the rate of routine capnometry use to verify ETI was significantly higher in tertiary EDs in both the crude and

Table 4 Alternate ventilation equipment in responded Japanese emergency departments (EDs)^a

Equipment item	N (%)
Alternate ventilation equipment ^b	
Oral airway	278 (85.8)
Nasal airway	313 (96.6)
SGA ^b	167 (51.5)
I-gel [®]	102 (31.5)
LMA Classic [®]	39 (12.0)
LMA ProSeal [®]	28 (8.6)
Air-Q [®]	11 (3.4)
Laryngeal tube [®]	6 (1.9)
LMA Fastrach [®]	2 (0.6)
LMA Supreme [®]	2 (0.6)
Others	6 (1.9)
Reason for lack of SGA ^c	N = 157
A surgical airway is performed if ETI is difficult	92 (58.6)
Lack of familiarity	62 (39.5)
Perceived as not useful for emergency cases	29 (18.5)
Expensive	5 (3.2)
Other	38 (24.2)

SGA supraglottic airway device

^aBased on the replies of 324 of the 530 EDs queried^bEDs may have more than one of the specified equipment items^cEDs may have more than one reason**Table 5** Portable storage unit (DAM cart) and its contents available at the responding Japanese emergency departments (EDs)^a

Item	N (%)
Portable storage unit (DAM cart)	161 (49.7)
Contents of the DAM cart	161
Stylet	145 (90.1)
Direct laryngoscope blades in various designs and sizes	142 (88.2)
Tracheal tubes in assorted sizes	135 (83.9)
Magill forceps	129 (80.1)
Airway (oral/nasal)	127 (78.9)
Bag valve mask	122 (75.8)
Rigid video laryngoscope	107 (66.5)
Surgical airway device	100 (62.1)
SGA	68 (42.2)
Gum elastic bougie	67 (41.6)
Capnometry	51 (31.7)
Yankauer suction tip	39 (24.2)
Sugammadex	8 (5.0)
Other devices	8 (5.0)

DAM difficult airway management, SGA supraglottic airway device

^aBased on the replies of 324 of the 530 EDs queried**Table 6** Drugs to facilitate ETI and reversal agents available at the responding Japanese emergency departments (EDs)^a

Item	N (%)
Analgesics ^b	
At least one opioid	135 (41.7)
Fentanyl	116 (35.8)
Morphine	95 (29.3)
Remifentanyl	3 (0.9)
Ketamine	77 (23.8)
Pentazocin	278 (85.8)
Buprenorphine	144 (44.4)
Tramadol	3 (0.9)
Lidocaine	251 (77.5)
Other	7 (2.2)
Sedatives ^b	
At least one sedative	324 (100)
Diazepam	300 (92.6)
Midazolam	293 (90.4)
Propofol	237 (73.1)
Thiopental	153 (47.2)
Dexmedetomidine	83 (25.6)
Haloperidol	163 (50.3)
Droperidol	17 (5.2)
Other	3 (0.9)
Neuromuscular blocking agents ^b	
At least one neuromuscular blocking agent	222 (68.5)
Rocuronium	187 (57.7)
Vecuronium	72 (22.2)
Pancuronium	2 (0.6)
Succinylcholine	22 (6.8)
Reversal agents ^b	
Sugammadex	74 (22.8)
Flumazenil	159 (49.1)
Naloxone	50 (15.4)
Neostigmine	38 (11.7)

ETI endotracheal intubation

^aBased on the replies of 324 of the 530 EDs queried^bEDs may have more than one drug

adjusted analysis. Multicollinearity was not detected (variance-inflation factor < 1.2 for each explanatory variable of each model), and the Hosmer–Lemeshow test verified the good fit ($P > 0.05$) of each logistic regression model.

An international comparison of the outcomes of interest in this study is provided in Additional file 2: Table S1.

The differences in characteristics between the respondent and non-respondent EDs were compared to assess non-response bias. As shown in Additional file 3: Table S2,

Table 7 Airway management teaching programs available at the responding Japanese emergency departments (EDs)^a

Airway management teaching program ^b	N (%)
Anesthesiology rotation	125 (38.6)
Surgical airway training using a simulator, an animal model, a cadaver, etc.	99 (30.6)
DAM simulation training	56 (17.3)
Didactic lecture	47 (14.5)
Other program	36 (11.1)

DAM difficult airway management

^aBased on the replies of 324 of the 530 EDs queried^bEDs may have more than one airway management teaching program

respondent EDs were likely to be academic EDs ($P = 0.003$) and tertiary EDs ($P < 0.001$).

Discussion

This national survey examined the currently available human, drug, and equipment resources for DAM and the extent of capnometry use in Japanese EDs. Roughly two-thirds of the responding EDs were supplied with neuromuscular blocking agents; in half of the EDs, SGAs and dedicated DAM carts were available and capnometry was routinely used to verify tube placement. These data suggest that airway management practices, including RSI use, performance of a rescue strategy, and post-intubation care, vary in Japanese EDs. This may in part be due to differences in the airway management education offerings.

Table 8 Current status regarding capnometry use for ETI among the responding Japanese emergency departments (EDs)^a

Item	N (%)
Capnometry ^b	
Quantitative capnometry	270 (83.3)
Colorimetric capnometry	82 (25.3)
Use of capnometry to confirm ETI	316 ^c
Routinely	151 (47.8)
Sometimes	106 (33.5)
Never	59 (18.7)
Reason for lack of routine use of capnometry to confirm ETI	165 ^d
Confirmation by other methods (e.g., tube fogging, chest rise, direct visualization, and auscultation)	87 (52.7)
Discretion of ED physicians	78 (47.3)
Expensive	18 (10.9)
Device shortage	16 (9.7)
Lack of familiarity	11 (6.7)
Other	13 (7.9)

ETI endotracheal intubation

^aBased on the replies of 324 of the 530 EDs queried^bEDs may have both types of capnometry^cThere are eight missing data^dEDs may have more than one reason

Academic, tertiary, and high-volume EDs were likely to be well prepared for DAM.

Among the responding EDs, SGA was available in only 51.5% (Table 4). Therefore, in Japan, SGA is under-used as a rescue ventilation device. The main reason reported for the limited availability of SGAs is that a surgical airway is typically performed when a difficult airway is encountered (Table 4). Many Japanese ED physicians may choose to perform a definitive surgical airway rather than rescue ventilation through SGA when patient ventilation and/or intubation are difficult. Another important cause contributing to the low-level use of SGAs in Japanese EDs is insufficient familiarity with their placement (Table 4). Appropriate SGA training for ED physicians is limited in Japanese EDs because, other than elective operations, the settings in which patients are ventilated with a SGA are relatively rare and truly emergent. This study also revealed the low availability of an anesthesiology rotation for ED physicians (Table 7). As previously noted [61, 62], training in the hospital OR to gain SGA insertion experience and confidence would be beneficial for many ED practitioners.

A dedicated DAM cart was present in less than half the EDs and its contents varied considerably (Table 5). Because airway difficulties are far more likely in the ED [1, 3–7] and time is very limited in the airway management of a critically ill patient, every ED should have immediate access to at least one DAM cart, which should have the same contents and layout as that used in the respective hospital's OR [14]. Berkow et al. [63] reported that, after the implementation of a comprehensive airway program, including standardized DAM cart preparation, the need for an emergency surgical airway decreased.

Approximately one-third of the responding EDs were not equipped with neuromuscular blocking agents (Table 6), indicative of the variable use of RSI across Japanese EDs. In their multicenter observational study of 10 academic and community Japanese EDs, Hasegawa et al. [23] observed a high degree of variation in airway management practices among hospitals, with those using RSI accounting for 0–79%. The findings from our cross-sectional study of 324 hospitals support this high degree of variability. We also found a significantly higher availability of neuromuscular blocking agents in academic EDs, high-volume EDs, and tertiary EDs (Table 9). Thus, RSI is more likely to be used in these types of EDs than in community, small-volume, or secondary EDs.

Less than half of the EDs routinely used capnometry for ETI verification (Table 8). The major reasons were the confirmation of ETI by other methods, such as tube fogging and auscultation, and that capnometry use was left to the discretion of the ED physician (Table 8). Thus, standard operating procedures for post-intubation care are lacking in many Japanese EDs. Previous studies [14, 16]




Fig. 1 Availability of important difficult airway management (DAM) resources and of a clinical anesthesia rotation, as well as the use of capnometry in Japanese emergency departments. *ETI* endotracheal intubation, *SGA* supraglottic airway device

showed that the increased use of capnography was the single change with the greatest potential to prevent death from airway complications outside the OR. The further incorporation of ETCO_2 confirmation in Japanese EDs would thus improve patient outcomes.

The clinical backgrounds of the ED physicians in our study were highly diverse (Table 2). Therefore, in Japanese EDs, there may be varying levels of airway management expertise. O'Malley et al. [64] referred to this diversity as a multispecialty staffing model.

Our data also revealed differences in the methods used in airway management training for emergency medicine trainees, including OR exposure (Table 7). The diversity of the educational offering in airway management may, at least in part, explain the resource and practice variations with respect to RSI, rescue strategy, and post-intubation care. In Japan, airway management education, including quality and quantity endpoints, has not been standardized because of the absence of bodies that accredit the residency program [23]. Our study provides a reference point for DAM education programs available in Japanese EDs and offers the opportunity for the directors of each emergency medicine residency program to reappraise their own education offerings.

Finally, this study found a general trend that academic EDs, high-volume EDs, and tertiary EDs were well prepared in terms of their DAM resources, including 24-h back-up coverage and the availability of neuromuscular blocking agents (Table 9). It also showed that capnometry was more likely to be used for ETI verification in tertiary EDs. Previous studies [54, 55] demonstrated that patient outcomes at this type of ED were better than at other types. These findings collectively suggest that better DAM resources and post-intubation care are associated with improved patient management. We also determined that an anesthesia rotation was far less commonly available at academic EDs (Table 9), suggesting that community EDs

were the most likely to have flexible airway rotation programs for ED physicians.

Study limitations and advantages

Our study had four major limitations. First, the survey did not include non-JAAM-certified EDs, because a complete list of non-JAAM-certified training facilities was not available. However, it is likely that DAM resources are less available and capnometry is used less often in these hospitals because most are not academic EDs, high-volume EDs, or tertiary EDs. Second, the frequencies of difficult airways situations (i.e., cannot ventilate and cannot intubate) were neither determined nor was information obtained on airway management practices in Japanese EDs. Third, because our questionnaire was self-administered, reporting bias was possible. Fourth, as in any study using questionnaires, this study may be affected by non-response bias. Actual DAM resources and post-intubation care using capnometry in JAAM-certified EDs may be even poorer because respondents of this survey were likely to be academic and tertiary EDs (Additional file 3: Table S2).

In spite of these limitations, this study also had several strengths. First, the response rate was relatively high (324 of 530 surveyed EDs), and the survey assessed various types of EDs, including academic, community, tertiary, urban, and pediatric, located in many geographic areas of Japan. Therefore, our data accurately reflect the current status of advanced airway management across the country. Second, our findings are the first to demonstrate associations between ED type, the availability of neuromuscular blocking agents, and the availability of an anesthesia rotation. Overall, our study identified areas in need of improvement regarding DAM resources and post-intubation care. Our survey provides the opportunity for each ED to reappraise its own DAM resources, education, and practice. We believe this quality improvement would be beneficial not only for Japanese EDs but also for EDs in other countries.

Table 9 Association between outcomes of interest and emergency department (ED) type

Item	%	Crude analysis		Adjusted analysis	
		Odds ratio (95% CI)	P	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P
24-h back-up coverage					
Academic ED	84.8	3.4 (1.7–6.5)	< 0.001	3.3 (1.7–6.8)	< 0.001
High-volume ED	73.8	1.4 (0.8–2.5)	0.3	1.4 (0.7–2.5)	0.3
Tertiary ED	73.9	1.9 (1.2–3.0)	0.008	1.8 (1.1–3.0)	0.02
Urban ED	75.2	1.7 (1.1–2.9)	0.03	1.7 (1.0–2.9)	0.06
Supraglottic airway device					
Academic ED	60.8	1.6 (1.0–2.4)	0.07	1.6 (1.0–2.8)	0.08
High-volume ED	56.2	1.3 (0.8–2.2)	0.3	1.4 (0.8–2.4)	0.2
Tertiary ED	54.3	1.3 (0.8–2.0)	0.3	1.2 (0.7–1.8)	0.5
Urban ED	49.6	0.9 (0.6–1.4)	0.6	0.8 (0.5–1.3)	0.4
Surgical airway device					
Academic ED	93.7	0.6 (0.2–1.7)	0.3	0.7 (0.2–2.3)	0.5
High-volume ED	95	0.7 (0.2–2.5)	0.7	0.7 (0.2–2.6)	0.6
Tertiary ED	95.7	1.0 (0.3–2.9)	1	1.2 (0.4–3.7)	0.8
Urban ED	94	0.6 (0.2–1.6)	0.3	0.7 (0.2–2.2)	0.5
DAM cart					
Academic ED	49.4	1.0 (0.6–1.6)	1	1.0 (0.6–1.7)	0.9
High-volume ED	52.5	1.1 (0.7–1.9)	0.7	1.2 (0.7–2.0)	0.6
Tertiary ED	49.5	1.0 (0.6–1.5)	1	1.0 (0.6–1.5)	1
Urban ED	47.9	0.9 (0.6–1.4)	0.6	0.9 (0.6–1.4)	0.6
Neuromuscular blocking agents					
Academic ED	83.5	2.9 (1.5–5.5)	0.001	3.2 (1.6–6.5)	0.001
High-volume ED	80	2.2 (1.2–4.1)	0.01	2.2 (1.1–4.1)	0.02
Tertiary ED	78.8	3.0 (1.9–4.9)	< 0.001	2.7 (1.6–4.4)	< 0.001
Urban ED	68.4	1.0 (0.6–1.6)	1	0.9 (0.5–1.6)	0.9
Anesthesiology rotation					
Academic ED	20.3	0.3 (0.2–0.6)	< 0.001	0.3 (0.2–0.6)	< 0.001
High-volume ED	38.8	1.0 (0.6–1.7)	1	1.0 (0.6–1.7)	0.9
Tertiary ED	33.7	0.6 (0.4–1.0)	0.05	0.7 (0.4–1.1)	0.08
Urban ED	35	0.8 (0.5–1.3)	0.34	0.8 (0.5–1.4)	0.5
Routine use of capnometry to confirm ETI					
Academic ED	53.2	1.4 (0.9–2.4)	0.2	1.3 (0.8–2.3)	0.3
High-volume ED	47.5	1.0 (0.6–1.7)	1	0.9 (0.5–1.6)	0.8
Tertiary ED	54.3	2.1 (1.3–3.3)	0.002	2.1 (1.3–3.3)	0.002
Urban ED	45.3	0.9 (0.6–1.5)	0.7	0.9 (0.6–1.5)	0.7

CI confidence interval, DAM difficult airway management, ETI endotracheal intubation

Academic ED, high-volume ED, and tertiary ED are defined in Table 1

Conclusions

This nationwide cross-sectional study demonstrated wide-ranging differences in airway management resources in Japanese EDs. Neuromuscular blocking agents, SGAs, and DAM carts are of limited availability, while the use of capnometry to confirm correct tube

placement is not universal. These data imply that RSI, rescue strategies, and post-intubation care in Japanese ED also vary and are not standardized. Academic, tertiary, and high-volume EDs were likely to be well prepared for DAM. We believe this study is a meaningful first approach to improving DAM resources and practice in Japanese EDs.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Survey of airway management resources in Japanese emergency departments. (DOCX 26 kb)

Additional file 2: Table S1. International comparison of outcomes of interests with the outcome determined in this study. (DOCX 14 kb)

Additional file 3: Table S2. Characteristic differences between respondent vs. non-respondent emergency departments (EDs). (DOCX 13 kb)

Abbreviations

CI: Confidence interval; DAM: Difficult airway management; ED: Emergency department; EtCO₂: End-tidal CO₂; ETI: Endotracheal intubation; ICU: Intensive care units; JAAM: Japanese Association of Acute Medicine; OR: Operating room; RSI: Rapid sequence intubation; SGA: Supraglottic airway device

Acknowledgements

We thank all of the participating EDs for their earnest and generous cooperation in this project. We also thank Ms. Siho Sato (Emergency and Critical Care Medical Center, Fukushima Medical University Hospital, Fukushima, Japan) and Ms. Kasumi Ouchi (Office for Gender Equality Support, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan) for their secretarial assistance.

The authors are grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions, which greatly improved the scientific merit of the paper. Finally, we thank Nozomi Ono, M.D. (Department of Psychiatry, Hoshigaoka Hospital, Koriyama, Japan) for her consistent assistance in drafting and reviewing the manuscript.

Funding

This study was solely supported by a divisional fund.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

YO and KT conceived the study design. All authors contributed to the construction of the questionnaire. KT, KSh, and JS supervised conductance of the survey and data collection. YO, TY, and KSo managed the data and constructed the database. YO performed the statistical analysis. All authors interpreted the survey results and participated in related discussions. YO drafted the initial manuscript, and all authors contributed substantially to its revision. YO takes primary responsibility for the paper as a whole. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Fukushima Medical University (no. 2751) on June 27, 2016. The IRB regarded return of the questionnaire as the consent to participate.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Emergency and Critical Care Medical Center, Fukushima Medical University Hospital, Fukushima 960-1295, Japan. ²Department of Anesthesiology, Ohta General Hospital Foundation, Ohta Nishinouchi Hospital, Koriyama, Japan. ³Fukushima Global Medical Science Center, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan. ⁴Department of General and Emergency Medicine, JR Tokyo General Hospital, Tokyo, Japan.

Received: 17 November 2016 Accepted: 7 September 2017

Published online: 13 September 2017

References

- Soyuncu S, Eken C, Cete Y, Bektas F, Akcimen M. Determination of difficult intubation in the ED. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2009;27:905–10.
- Leviton RM, Everett WW, Ochroch EA. Limitations of difficult airway prediction in patients intubated in the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2004;44:307–13.
- Mort TC. Emergency tracheal intubation: complications associated with repeated laryngoscopic attempts. *Anesth Analg*. 2004;99:607–13.
- Hasegawa K, Shigemitsu K, Hagiwara Y, Chiba T, Watake H, Brown CA 3rd, et al. Association between repeated intubation attempts and adverse events in emergency departments: an analysis of a multicenter prospective observational study. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2012;60:749–54.
- Martin LD, Mhyre JM, Shanks AM, Tremper KK, Kheterpal S. 3,423 Emergency tracheal intubations at a university hospital: airway outcomes and complications. *Anesthesiology*. 2011;114:42–8.
- Reed MJ. Can an airway assessment score predict difficulty at intubation in the emergency department? *Emerg Med J*. 2005;22:99–102.
- Walls RM, Brown CA 3rd, Bair AE, Pallin DJ, NEAR II investigators. Emergency airway management: a multi-center report of 8937 emergency department intubations. *J Emerg Med*. 2011;41:347–54.
- Adnet F, Borron SW, Racine SX, Clemessy JL, Fournier JL, Plaisance P, et al. The intubation difficulty scale (IDS): proposal and evaluation of a new score characterizing the complexity of endotracheal intubation. *Anesthesiology*. 1997;87:1290–7.
- Norskov AK, Rosenstock CV, Wetterslev J, Astrup G, Afshari A, Lundstrom LH. Diagnostic accuracy of anaesthesiologists' prediction of difficult airway management in daily clinical practice: a cohort study of 188 064 patients registered in the Danish Anaesthesia Database. *Anaesthesia*. 2015;70:272–81.
- Burkle CM, Walsh MT, Harrison BA, Curry TB, Rose SH. Airway management after failure to intubate by direct laryngoscopy: outcomes in a large teaching hospital. *Can J Anaesth*. 2005;52:634–40.
- Crosby ET, Cooper RM, Douglas MJ, Doyle DJ, Hung OR, Labrecque P, et al. The unanticipated difficult airway with recommendations for management. *Can J Anaesth*. 1998;45:757–76.
- Langeron O, Cuvillon P, Ibanez-Esteve C, Lenfant F, Riou B, Le Manach Y. Prediction of difficult tracheal intubation: time for a paradigm change. *Anesthesiology*. 2012;117:1223–33.
- Lundstrom LH, Moller AM, Rosenstock C, Astrup G, Wetterslev J. High body mass index is a weak predictor for difficult and failed tracheal intubation: a cohort study of 91,332 consecutive patients scheduled for direct laryngoscopy registered in the Danish Anesthesia Database. *Anesthesiology*. 2009;110:266–74.
- Cook TM, Woodall N, Harper J, Benger J; Fourth National Audit Project. Major complications of airway management in the UK: results of the Fourth National Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and the Difficult Airway Society. Part 2: intensive care and emergency departments. *Br J Anaesth*. 2011;106:632–42.
- Thomas AN, McGrath BA. Patient safety incidents associated with airway devices in critical care: a review of reports to the UK National Patient Safety Agency. *Anaesthesia*. 2009;64:358–65.
- Cook TM, MacDougall-Davis SR. Complications and failure of airway management. *Br J Anaesth*. 2012;109:68–85.
- Mort TC. The incidence and risk factors for cardiac arrest during emergency tracheal intubation: a justification for incorporating the ASA Guidelines in the remote location. *J Clin Anesth*. 2004;16:508–16.
- Japanese Society of Anesthesiologists. JSA airway management guideline 2014: to improve the safety of induction of anesthesia. *J Anesth*. 2014;28:482–93.
- Apfelbaum JL, Hagberg CA, Caplan RA, Blitt CD, Connis RT, Nickinovich DG, et al. Practice guidelines for management of the difficult airway: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Management of the Difficult Airway. *Anesthesiology*. 2013;118:251–70.
- Henderson JJ, Popat MT, Latto IP, Pearce AC, Society DA. Difficult Airway Society guidelines for management of the unanticipated difficult intubation. *Anaesthesia*. 2004;59:675–94.
- Ono Y, Shinohara K, Goto A, Yano T, Sato L, Miyazaki H, et al. Are prehospital airway management resources compatible with difficult airway algorithms? A

- nationwide cross-sectional study of helicopter emergency medical services in Japan. *J Anesth*. 2016;30:205–14.
22. Ono Y, Tanigawa K, Shinohara K, Yano T, Sorimachi K, Sato L, et al. Difficult airway management resources and capnography use in Japanese intensive care units: a nationwide cross-sectional study. *J Anesth*. 2016;30:644–52.
 23. Hasegawa K, Hagiwara Y, Chiba T, Watase H, Walls RM, Brown DF, et al. Emergency airway management in Japan: interim analysis of a multi-center prospective observational study. *Resuscitation*. 2012;83:428–33.
 24. Takeda T, Tanigawa K, Tanaka H, Hayashi Y, Goto E, Tanaka K. The assessment of three methods to verify tracheal tube placement in the emergency setting. *Resuscitation*. 2003;56:153–7.
 25. Grmec S. Comparison of three different methods to confirm tracheal tube placement in emergency intubation. *Intensive Care Med*. 2002;28:701–4.
 26. Grmec S, Mally S. Prehospital determination of tracheal tube placement in severe head injury. *Emerg Med J*. 2004;21:518–20.
 27. JAAM website: <http://www.jaam.jp/html/shisetsu/senmoni-s.htm>, Accessed 15 June 2016 (in Japanese).
 28. Morton T, Brady S, Clancy M. Difficult airway equipment in English emergency departments. *Anaesthesia*. 2000;55:485–8.
 29. Levitan RM, Kush S, Hollander JE. Devices for difficult airway management in academic emergency departments: results of a national survey. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1999;33:694–8.
 30. Walsh K, Cummins F. Difficult airway equipment in departments of emergency medicine in Ireland: results of a national survey. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2004;21:128–31.
 31. Deiorio NM. Continuous end-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring for confirmation of endotracheal tube placement is neither widely available nor consistently applied by emergency physicians. *Emerg Med J*. 2005;22:490–3.
 32. Swaminathan AK, Berkowitz R, Baker A, Spyres M. Do emergency medicine residents receive appropriate video laryngoscopy training? A survey to compare the utilization of video laryngoscopy devices in emergency medicine residency programs and community emergency departments. *J Emerg Med*. 2015;48:613–9.
 33. Browne A. A lack of anaesthetic clinical attachments for emergency medicine advanced trainees in New Zealand: perceptions of directors of emergency medicine training. *N Z Med J*. 2015;128:45–9.
 34. Langan ML, Chen L. Current utilization of continuous end-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring in pediatric emergency departments. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2008;24:211–3.
 35. Losek JD, Olson LR, Dobson JV, Glaeser PW. Tracheal intubation practice and maintaining skill competency: survey of pediatric emergency department medical directors. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2008;24:294–9.
 36. Reeder TJ, Brown CK, Norris DL. Managing the difficult airway: a survey of residency directors and a call for change. *J Emerg Med*. 2005;28:473–8.
 37. Georgiou AP, Gouldson S, Amphlett AM. The use of capnography and the availability of airway equipment on intensive care units in the UK and the Republic of Ireland. *Anaesthesia*. 2010;65:462–7.
 38. Kannan S, Manji M. Survey of use of end-tidal carbon dioxide for confirming tracheal tube placement in intensive care units in the UK. *Anaesthesia*. 2003;58:476–9.
 39. Haviv Y, Ezri T, Boaz M, Ivry S, Gurkan Y, Izakson A. Airway management practices in adult intensive care units in Israel: a national survey. *J Clin Monit Comput*. 2012;26:415–21.
 40. Cumming C, McFadzean J. A survey of the use of capnography for the confirmation of correct placement of tracheal tubes in pediatric intensive care units in the UK. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2005;15:591–6.
 41. Porhomayon J, El-Solh AA, Nader ND. National survey to assess the content and availability of difficult-airway carts in critical-care units in the United States. *J Anesth*. 2010;24:811–4.
 42. Alakeson N, Flett T, Hunt V, Ramgolam A, Reynolds W, Hartley K, et al. Difficult airway equipment: a survey of standards across metropolitan Perth. *Anaesth Intensive Care*. 2014;42:657–64.
 43. Calder A, Hegarty M, Davies K, von Ungern-Sternberg BS. The difficult airway trolley in pediatric anesthesia: an international survey of experience and training. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2012;22:1150–4.
 44. Goldmann K, Braun U. Airway management practices at German university and university-affiliated teaching hospitals-equipment, techniques and training: results of a nationwide survey. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2006;50:298–305.
 45. Wahlen BM, Roewer N, Kranke P. A survey assessing the procurement, storage and preferences of airway management devices by anaesthesia departments in German hospitals. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2010;27:526–33.
 46. Rognas LK, Hansen TM. EMS-physicians' self reported airway management training and expertise: a descriptive study from the Central Region of Denmark. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2011;19:10.
 47. Schmid M, Mang H, Ey K, Schuttler J. Prehospital airway management on rescue helicopters in the United Kingdom. *Anaesthesia*. 2009;64:625–31.
 48. Schmid M, Schuttler J, Ey K, Reichenbach M, Trimmel H, Mang H. Equipment for pre-hospital airway management on Helicopter Emergency mMedical System helicopters in central Europe. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2011;55:583–7.
 49. The Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare website: <http://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/shingi/2r9852000002umg2-att/2r9852000002umiy.pdf>, Accessed 21 July 2017 (in Japanese).
 50. JAAM website: <http://www.jaam.jp/html/shisetsu/qc-center.htm>, Accessed 21 July 2017 (in Japanese).
 51. The Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare website: <http://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/05-Shingikai-12401000-Hokenkyoku-Soumuka/0000096262.pdf>, Accessed 21 July 2017 (in Japanese).
 52. Statistics Bureau, The Japanese Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications website: <http://www.stat.go.jp/data/kokusei/2010/users-g/word7.htm>, Accessed 21 July 2017 (in Japanese).
 53. The Japanese Medical Specialty Board criteria: <http://www.japan-senmon-ijp/>, Accessed 21 July 2017 (in Japanese).
 54. MacKenzie EJ, Rivara FP, Jurkovich GJ, Nathens AB, Frey KP, Egleston BL, et al. A national evaluation of the effect of trauma-center care on mortality. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;26(354):366–78.
 55. Minei JP, Fabian TC, Guffey DM, Newgard CD, Bulger EM, Brasel KJ, et al. Increased trauma center volume is associated with improved survival after severe injury: results of a resuscitation outcomes consortium study. *Ann Surg*. 2014;260:456–64.
 56. Newgard CD, Fu R, Bulger E, Hedges JR, Mann NC, Wright D, et al. Evaluation of rural vs urban trauma patients served by 9-1-1 emergency medical services. *JAMA Surg*. 2017;152:11–8.
 57. Raatinemi L, Liisanantti J, Niemi S, Nal H, Ohtonen P, Antikainen H, et al. Short-term outcome and differences between rural and urban trauma patients treated by mobile intensive care units in northern Finland: a retrospective analysis. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2015;23:91.
 58. De Jong A, Molinari N, Terzi N, Mongardon N, Arnal JM, Guitton C, et al. Early identification of patients at risk for difficult intubation in the intensive care unit: development and validation of the MACOCHA score in a multicenter cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2013;187:832–9.
 59. Breckwoldt J, Klemstein S, Brunne B, Schnitzer L, Arntz HR, Mochmann HC. Expertise in prehospital endotracheal intubation by emergency medicine physicians—comparing 'proficient performers' and 'experts'. *Resuscitation*. 2012;83:434–9.
 60. Ono Y, Kikuchi H, Hashimoto K, Sasaki T, Ishii J, Tase C, et al. Emergency endotracheal intubation-related adverse events in bronchial asthma exacerbation: can anesthesiologists attenuate the risk? *J Anesth*. 2015;29:678–85.
 61. Sollid SJ, Heltne JK, Soreide E, Lossius HM. Pre-hospital advanced airway management by anaesthesiologists: is there still room for improvement? *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2008;16:2.
 62. Davis DP, Buono C, Ford J, Paulson L, Koenig W, Carrison D. The effectiveness of a novel, algorithm-based difficult airway curriculum for air medical crews using human patient simulators. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2007;11:72–9.
 63. Berkow LC, Greenberg RS, Kan KH, Colantuoni E, Mark LJ, Flint PW, et al. Need for emergency surgical airway reduced by a comprehensive difficult airway program. *Anesth Analg*. 2009;109:1860–9.
 64. O'Malley RN, O'Malley GF, Ochi G. Emergency medicine in Japan. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2001;38:441–6.